

1996 California Environmental Scorecard



23rd Annual Guide to
Environmental Legislation & Votes

California League of Conservation Voters

CONTENTS

1996: Year in Review	1
Best & Worst.....	1
Voting Summary	2
The Agenda: Bill Descriptions 3 - 5	
Notes on the Scorecard	5
Assembly Floor Votes.....	6 - 9
Senate Floor Votes	10 - 11
Five-Year Averages	12
1997 Legislative Roster	Inside Back Cover

© 1996 California League of Conservation Voters

965 Mission St., Suite 625
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 896-5550

10951 W. Pico Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90064
(310) 441-4162

Internet: clcvsf@igc.apc.org or
<http://www.ecovote.org/ecovote>

Permission granted to quote from or reproduce portions of this publication if properly credited.

Printed on recycled paper using soy-based inks
Designed by Mark Deitch & Associates, Inc., Los Angeles



CLCV: POLITICAL ACTION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

The California League of Conservation Voters is the non-partisan political action arm of California's environmental movement. The League's mission is to protect the environmental quality of the state by working to elect environmentally responsible candidates to state and federal office, then holding them accountable to the environmental agenda.

The League conducts rigorous research on candidates and concentrates on the races where our resources can make a difference. We back our endorsements with expertise, assisting candidates with the media, fundraising and grassroots organizing strategies they need to win. Each election year, we place experienced organizers, known as the Grizzly Corps, in the most crucial environmental contests in the state, then work to get out the vote on Election Day. In 1996, CLCV fielded 15 Grizzlies in 14 campaigns and contributed over \$325,000 on behalf of candidates.

The League is also a legislative watchdog. Each year, we track scores of environmental bills and votes in Sacramento and work to make sure legislators hear from environmental voters. At session's end, we publish the *California Environmental Scorecard* to help voters distinguish between the rhetoric and reality of a lawmaker's record.

This edition of the Scorecard records the most important environmental votes of the 1996 session. Now in its 23rd year, the Scorecard — distributed to 25,000 League members, other environmental organizations and the news media — is the authoritative source on the state's environmental politics.

ADVISORY COUNCIL

- | | |
|--|---|
| Ruben Aronin, <i>Earth Communications Ofc.</i> | Micci Martinez, <i>Clean Water Action</i> |
| Mike Belliveau, <i>Communities for a Better Environment</i> | Ed Maschke, <i>CalPIRG</i> |
| David Chatfield, <i>Clean Water Action</i> | Laurie McCann, <i>Friends of the River</i> |
| Martha Davis, <i>Mono Lake Committee</i> | Carlos Melendrez, <i>EDGE</i> |
| Luis De La Rosa, <i>LA Center for Law & Justice</i> | Angela Meszaros, <i>Environmental Justice Coalition</i> |
| John Gamboa, <i>The Greenlining Institute</i> | Marilyn Morton, <i>The Parsons Corporation</i> |
| Mark Gold, <i>Heal the Bay</i> | Barry Nelson, <i>Save San Francisco Bay Association</i> |
| Antonio Gonzalez, <i>Southwest Voter Registration Project</i> | Mel Nutter, <i>LA League of Conservation Voters</i> |
| Andy Goodman, <i>Environmental Media Association</i> | John Perez, <i>UFCW Region 8</i> |
| Juana Gutierrez, <i>Madres del Este de Los Angeles</i> | Gary Phillips, <i>Multicultural Collaborative</i> |
| Randall Hayes, <i>Rainforest Action Network</i> | David Roe, <i>Environmental Defense Fund</i> |
| Hans Hemann, <i>Sierra Club California</i> | Marty Rosen, <i>Trust for Public Land</i> |
| Bonnie Holmes, <i>Sierra Club California</i> | Bruce Saito, <i>LA Conservation Corps</i> |
| Brian Huse, <i>National Parks & Conservation Association</i> | Jim Sayer, <i>Greenbelt Alliance</i> |
| Bong Hwan Kim, <i>Korean Youth & Community Center</i> | Marta Samano, <i>MALDEF</i> |
| | Bill Walker, <i>Environmental Working Group</i> |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The League thanks the following organizations and individuals for their contributions to the 1996 *California Environmental Scorecard*: Audubon Society, California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation, Leo Briones, Californians Against Waste, California Electric Transportation Coalition, CalPIRG, Joe Caves, Clean Water Action, Mark Deitch & Associates, Inc., Environmental Working Group, Mountain Lion Foundation, Pesticide Action Network, Planning & Conservation League, Price Consulting, Sierra Club California, Trust for Public Land and V. John White & Associates.

1996: SPECIAL FAVORS FOR SPECIAL INTERESTS

Gov. Pete Wilson set the tone for this year in the final days of 1995, when he became the first governor ever to call a special session solely to pass special-interest legislation defeated in the previous session: a two-year extension on the use of the toxic pesticide methyl bromide, which was to be banned after March 1996.

The methyl bromide extension, which environmentalists were originally given no chance of even slowing down, turned into a pitched three-month fight, as enviros rallied grassroots and editorial opposition statewide. But when it was over, the bill was law — a stain on the legacy of its author, retiring Sen. Henry Mello — and a pattern was set for the year: Special favors for special interests.

That, of course, could be said of most years. The difference in 1996 was that Republicans finally gained control of the Assembly, and new Speaker Curt Pringle set the foxes loose in the environmental henhouse. Two of the most consistently anti-environmental votes in the Assembly — Keith Olberg and Bernie Richter — were the new chairs of the Natural Resources and Toxics committees, and the agenda of the GOP caucus could only be described as extremist. (The most notable change in this year's scores vs. 1995 was a drop of 11 points in the Assembly GOP average.)

Olberg seemed to be trying to set a record for most anti-environmental bills introduced in a single session. Richter made no pretense that his committee's hearings were fair and impartial. Two Orange County freshmen, Gary Miller and Bob Margett, introduced bills that sought to exempt California from the global treaty banning ozone-depleting chemicals. In the Senate, two other Orange County Republicans, John Lewis and Rob Hurr, introduced a stack of bills attempting (unsuccessfully) to dismantle the South Coast Air Quality Management District.

Fortunately, many of the worst Assembly bills died or were watered down in Senate committees. (The sheer volume of bad Assembly bills, and the fact that so few reached the Senate floor, produced an unusual 1996 Scorecard that counts 24 Assembly floor votes vs. only 12 Senate votes.) But the upper chamber produced its share of horrors, and not all came from Republicans. What all had in common was that they placed the agenda of powerful, monied special interests above the health, safety and quality of life of Californians. The worst examples: **SB 649 (COSTA)** and **SB 1750 (MADDY)**.

Costa's bill took the teeth out of California's oldest environmental law, an 1876 statute setting strict liability for the discharge of oil or chemicals into the state's waters. Because the bill makes it much harder to prosecute water polluters, it was opposed not only by environmentalists, but the state's district attorneys, Cal-EPA and the Department of Fish & Game. Wilson ignored his cabinet's advice and signed the bill, en route to his legislative score of 10, lowest of his 6 years in office.

SB 1750 — like its companion, **AB 2264 (POOCHIGIAN)** — as a sweeping assault on the state's entire pesticide regulation program. It would have eliminated the groundwater protection program, removed the authority of local air districts to regulate airborne pesticides, and given more authority to the Department of Pesticide Regulation, which showed clearly this year why it is considered a puppet of the pesticide industry. Defeat of SB 1750 was environmentalists' biggest win of the year, but its proponents are expected to make another run at pesticide regulation in 1997.

Now that the Democrats have recaptured control of the Assembly, environmentalists are cautiously optimistic about 1997. The committees will be in greener hands, but new Speaker Cruz Bustamante's environmental record is mediocre at best. The agenda will swing back from anti-environmental extremism, but it may be not enough to reverse the bottom line of California environmental politics in the 90's: Defense against bad bills, with little prospect for passing bills that advance environmental protection.

Best & Worst

BEST LEGISLATORS: Sens. Tom Hayden and Jack O'Connell, in whose committees many of the worst Assembly bills were killed.

WORST : Assemblyman Keith Olberg, chair of the Natural Resources Committee, who told the *LA Times* his strategy was to send up more anti-environmental bills than the Senate could knock down. Only one (AB 3048) made it to the governor's desk, after extensive amendment.

ASSEMBLY'S LOSS, SENATE'S GAIN: Byron Sher, whose move to the Senate boosted efforts to thwart the Assembly's agenda .

COMEBACK KIDS: Sens. Steve Peace and Mike Thompson, whose scores dropped in '95, rebounded to the Most Improved list. Peace took a principled stand against methyl bromide; Thompson's SB 2086, promoting alternatives to rice-burning, was one of the few good ideas to reach the governor's desk.

GREENEST GOP'ER: Assemblyman Jim Cunneen, at 71 the highest-scoring Republican since Tom Campbell (76 in 1989).

BEST BILL THAT DIDN'T GO ANYWHERE: SB 2080 (O'CONNELL), the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Act, setting state standards for lead hazard control.

WORST BILLS THAT DID: SBX3 1, extending the use of methyl bromide for the sake of cheap strawberries; and SB 649, gutting an 1876 law in order to let polluters off the hook for oil & chemical spills.

BAD SCIENCE: GOP freshmen Gary Miller and Bob Margett of Orange County introduced AB 1983 and AB 1996, to exempt California from the U.S. ban on ozone-destroying CFCs. Fellow OC Republican Jim Morrissey told the *Times* ozone depletion was "just a theory."

WORST SPECIAL FAVOR FOR A SPECIAL INTEREST: After taking almost \$100,000 from the pesticide lobby, Gov. Wilson made history by calling a special session to pass a measure — overturning the methyl bromide ban — that failed the year before.

UNCLEAR ON THE CONCEPT: Two of Speaker Curt Pringle's appointees to the California Coastal Commission had been charged with violating laws the Commission is charged with enforcing.

1996 VOTING INDEX

ASSEMBLY

Average of all Assembly members: 44

In 1995: 53

Average Republican Assembly score: 10

In 1995: 21

Average Democratic Assembly score: 86

In 1995: 85

Percentage of Assembly Republicans scoring 20 or lower: 93

In 1995: 61

Percentage of Assembly Democrats scoring 80 or higher: 82

In 1995: 72

Republican Assembly members with scores of 50 or higher: Cunneen (71), McPherson (50)

Democratic Assembly members with scores of 50 or lower: Machado (30), Bustamante (40), Cannella (42)

Perfect 100s: Archie-Hudson, Bates, Bowen, Burton, Figueroa, Friedman, Isenberg, Knox, Kuehl,

Lee, Mazzoni, Migden, Napolitano, Sweeney, Vasconcellos, Villaraigosa

Zeros: Alby, Baugh, Knowles, Morrow

SENATE

Average of all Senators: 55

In 1995: 50

Average Republican Senate floor score: 25

In 1995: 14

Average Democratic Senate floor score: 78

In 1995: 76

Percentage of Senate Republicans scoring 20 or lower: 39

In 1995: 76

Percentage of Senate Democrats scoring 80 or higher: 45

In 1995: 48

Republican Senators with scores of 50 or higher: none (highest: Kelley, 42)

Democratic Senators with scores of 50 or lower: Alquist (40), Calderon (44), Costa (45)

Perfect 100s: Dills, Hayden, Killea, Marks, O'Connell, Rosenthal, Sher, Solis, Watson

Zeros: Haynes, Russell

THE GOVERNOR

Pro-environmental bills signed: 1

Pro-environmental bills vetoed: 1

Anti-environmental bills signed: 7

Anti-environmental bills vetoed: 0

Special sessions called to push anti-environmental bills: 1

1996 legislative score (1 pro-, 9 anti-): 10

MOST IMPROVED¹

Beverly +30

Kelley +27

Killea +23

Kopp +23

Peace +22

Hauser +19

Gallegos +17

Davis +15

M. Thompson +15

Baca +13

WORST DECLINES

Alquist -35

Mello -26

Greene -21

Brewer -20

Hawkins -20

Kuykendall -20

Rogan -20

Morrow -19

Cortese -18

House -18

¹ To be eligible for the Most Improved list, legislators must achieve a minimum score of 40.

THE AGENDA: THE BILLS THAT MAKE UP THE SCORES



PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL BILL



ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL BILL



PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION BY GOVERNOR



ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION BY GOVERNOR

AIR QUALITY

1. RESTRICT AUTHORITY OF LOCAL AIR DISTRICTS ✗

AB 924 (RAINEY) requires local air districts to conduct a cumbersome “cost-benefit” analysis before approving new pollution control regulations for areas outside the worst air-quality regions of the state.

Signed into law.

2. INCENTIVES FOR LOW-EMISSION VEHICLES (L.E.V.S) ✓

By allowing EPA-certified low-emission vehicles (powered by electricity or compressed natural gas) to use freeway lanes now reserved for high-occupancy vehicles, **AB 2282 (KNOX)** would have boosted the market for cleaner cars, helping clean the air.

Vetoed.

3. WEAKEN CALIFORNIA CLEAN AIR ACT ✗

AB 2525 (MILLER) rolls back the California Clean Air Act, replacing the requirement for a 5 percent annual reduction in air pollution with a vague directive that fails to protect those most vulnerable to the health impacts of dirty air — children, the elderly and people with respiratory ailments.

Signed into law.

4. ELIMINATE L.E.V. REQUIREMENTS FOR VEHICLE FLEETS ✗

In its original form, **AB 3048 (OLBERG)** would have eliminated the requirement that auto fleet operators in the worst air-quality regions of the state use a significant number of low-emission vehicles in their fleets. (**Environmentalists' opposition withdrawn after amendments.**)

Signed into law.

5. ALTERNATIVES TO RICE-BURNING ✓

Burning of rice straw after the grain is harvested is a serious health problem, emitting the same cancer-causing chemicals found in cigarette smoke. **SB 2086 (THOMPSON)** authorizes a study of tax incentives for reuse of rice straw in paper or building products.

Merged in Assembly with SB 38 (LOCKYER), a tax bill otherwise unrelated to environmental issues; signed into law.

WATER QUALITY & SUPPLY

6. PROHIBIT STRONGER STATE SAFE-WATER STANDARDS ✗

One of many “federal conformity” bills this session, **AB 2620 (MORRISSEY)** would have prohibited California water agencies from setting safe drinking water standards more strict than federal standards — even when needed to protect public health.

Defeated in Senate Toxics Committee.

7. SHIELD WATER POLLUTERS FROM PROSECUTION ✗

SB 649 (COSTA) weakens the state's oldest environmental law, enacted to protect California's waters from oil and chemical spills. The bill makes it more difficult to prosecute water polluters, opening thousands of loopholes for accidental (but still damaging) spills by oil, chemical and timber companies.

Signed into law.

8. BAY-DELTA PROTECTION BOND ACT ✓

SB 900 (COSTA) places on the November 1996 ballot a \$1 billion bond issue, Proposition 204, to improve water quality and supply in San Francisco Bay and the Sacramento/San Joaquin Delta.

Signed into law.

PESTICIDES

9, 10. OVERTURN METHYL BROMIDE BAN ✗

In January, Gov. Wilson called a special legislative session solely to overturn the state ban on methyl bromide, an acutely toxic pesticide that is also a powerful destroyer of the Earth's protective ozone layer. Delaying the ban until 2001 benefits a relative handful of strawberry growers while exposing farmworkers, children and residents to unacceptable health risks. **ABX3 1 (FRUSETTA)** and **SBX3 1 (MELLO)** were identical bills to delay the ban.

ABX3 1 died in Senate Toxics Committee.

SBX3 1 signed into law.

11. INADEQUATE METHYL BROMIDE BUFFER ZONES X

During floor debate on SBX3 1, Sen. Nick Petris, author of the original law banning methyl bromide, unsuccessfully offered 3 amendments to increase safeguards against exposure to the pesticide. One would have enlarged the buffer zones between methyl bromide applications and subdivisions, schools and nursing homes. Vote is on the motion to kill Petris' amendment.

Amendment defeated on Senate floor.

12. PESTICIDE DEREGULATION X

After getting its way on methyl bromide, the pesticide lobby attempted a radical rollback of California's pesticide control program. **AB 2264 (POOCHIGIAN)** [and its companion, **SB 1750 (MADDY)**] would have eliminated groundwater protections, prohibited local agencies from regulating airborne pesticides, and further concentrated authority in the hands of the Department of Pesticide Regulation, widely seen as a puppet of agribusiness.

AB 2264 died in Senate Appropriations Committee; SB 1750 died in the Senate Toxics Committee.

TOXICS

13. EXEMPTIONS FOR ON-SITE TOXIC WASTE TREATMENT X

In its original form, **AB 2776 (MILLER)** would have allowed the Department of Toxic Substances Control to grant, without public or legislative review, broad exemptions from regulations for the on-site treatment of toxic wastes at certain facilities. **(Amendments removed some of the objectionable provisions, but some environmentalists feel the final bill still grants the Department overly broad authority.)**

 *Signed into law.*

14. RESTRICT CITIZEN ENFORCEMENT SUITS X


Proposition 65, the state's main toxics control law, allows private citizens and watchdog groups to sue alleged violators to comply with the law. **AB 3160 (OLBERG)** would have reversed the burden of proof for Prop. 65 suits, forcing citizens to prove the merits of their case to the Attorney General first — effectively giving the state the right to "veto" citizen enforcement actions.

Defeated on Assembly floor.

WASTE & RECYCLING

15. LANDFILL COVER AS 'RECYCLING' X

AB 1647 (BUSTAMANTE) will undermine the state law requiring local governments to reduce, through recycling, the amount of solid waste they dump in landfills. The bill redefines "recycling" to include the use of waste materials as daily cover for landfills.

 *Signed into law.*

16. GARBAGE BURNING VS. RECYCLING X

By giving garbage burning equal priority with recycling and source reduction as a method of meeting landfill reduction goals, **AB 2706 (CANNELLA)** will undermine recycling, substituting a process that is more expensive, uses more energy and poses health risks.

Died in Senate Appropriations.

17. GUT PLASTICS RECYCLING X

The 1991 state plastics recycling law is modest, requiring that rigid plastic containers meet one of four recycling-friendly standards. Still, the plastics lobby pushed through **SB 1155 (MADDY)**, exempting all food and cosmetics containers — more than half of the containers covered under the old law.

 *Signed into law.*

FORESTS

18. LONG-TERM LOGGING X

Under current law, timber companies must file a three-year logging plan, subject to public review and approval by the Department of Forestry. **AB 169 (RICHTER)** would have allowed loggers to file long-term timber harvest plans of up to 10 years, making it harder to monitor logging practices.

Defeated in the Senate Natural Resources Committee.

19. UNREGULATED 'SALVAGE' LOGGING X

AB 1357 (KNOWLES) originally was a state version of the notorious federal "salvage" timber law, allowing virtually unrestricted logging under the guise of reducing fire danger. The vague "fire danger" standard could have been used to justify almost any logging plan. **(Environmentalists' opposition dropped after AB 1357 was stripped of timber provisions and turned into an unrelated local-interest bill, which was signed into law.)**

Original bill died in the Senate Appropriations Committee.

CALIFORNIA ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

20. 'INCIDENTAL' SPECIES DESTRUCTION X

Of all the bills introduced by Assembly Natural Resources chair Keith Olberg attacking the state Endangered Species Act, **AB 3151** was the most dangerous. It would not only have allowed the Department of Fish & Game authority to permit killing of endangered species if the destruction was "incidental to otherwise lawful activity," but it would have removed legal protection for species while they are listed as candidates for endangered status — the time they need it most.

Died in conference committee.

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

21. EXEMPTIONS FOR OIL PIPELINES ✗

CEQA is an environmental Bill of Rights that guarantees citizens a say in decisions about projects and developments with potential environmental impact. **AB 1487 (PRINGLE)** will open huge loopholes in CEQA for the repair and replacement of oil pipelines, exempting pipeline projects up to 6 miles long from public review.

 *Signed into law.*

22. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTS ✗

For all proposed projects, CEQA requires an Environmental Impact Report — the main tool for citizens and policy-makers to assess the impact of development. **AB 2099 (MILLER)** would have let developers — instead of planning agencies — write their own EIRs and restricted the authority of courts to throw out an inadequate EIR.

Defeated on Assembly floor.

23. RESTRICT CITIZEN PARTICIPATION ✗

AB 3049 (OLBERG) was an assault on the underlying principle of CEQA. It sought to reverse the burden of proof from the developer, who currently must prove that a project will not be environmentally harmful, to the public, who would have to prove that environmental impacts will occur.

Died in Senate Governmental Organization Committee.

ENFORCEMENT & REGULATION

24, 25. POLLUTERS' SECRETS ✗

Two of the many "environmental audit" bills introduced in '96, **AB 856 (CALDERA)** and **AB 1729 (MORROW)** would have shielded polluters from legal penalties for violations of environmental regulations or workplace health and safety laws, as long as the companies reported the violations themselves. In addition, AB 856 would have kept such self-disclosed information from public records.

Died in Senate Judiciary Committee.

26. PROHIBIT TOUGHER STATE ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS ✗

The most sweeping of the "federal conformity" bills, **AB 2896 (GOLDSMITH)** would have required Cal-EPA and the state Resources Agency to conform all major state regulations to corresponding federal standards, prohibiting the setting of tougher state standards in most cases.

Died in Senate Appropriations Committee.

CONSERVATION

27, 28. PARK BONDS ✓

AB 1533 (CORTESE) and **SB 1948 (THOMPSON)** were attempts to place on the November 1996 statewide ballot a proposition to issue state bonds to acquire and maintain recreational parks and wildlife habitat conservancies. AB 1533 called for the issuance of \$495 million in bonds; SB 1948 was a spot bill, with the amount unspecified.

AB 1533 was defeated on the Assembly floor, where SB 1948 died without a vote.

Notes on the Scorecard

This edition of the *California Environmental Scorecard* evaluates floor votes by the 1996 session of the Legislature on 28 key environmental bills. Because very few of the bills reached the Senate floor, scores are based on 24 Assembly votes and 12 Senate votes, making each Senate vote worth exactly twice as much as an Assembly vote. (Unlike previous years, this edition of the Scorecard does not grade legislators for votes cast in committee.)

Bills listed are not all the environmental bills of the session, but those judged most important by a consensus of the environmental community. (See inside back cover for a list of participating organizations.) Votes counted for scoring may not be the final roll-call: the Scorecard panel decided which votes were most indicative for each bill.

Pro-environment votes are designated by ✓; anti-environmental votes by ✗. Members who did not vote (due to absence or abstention) are marked NV, and those not eligible for a vote as NE. Final scores are the percentage of pro-environment votes cast, not counting absences.

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES


SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR			WATER			PESTICIDES			TOXICS		WASTE			FORESTS	
	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	47	31	24	31	29	74	28	25	30	29	31	14	29	23	33	32
ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	27	43	45	41	44	4	45	46	41	41	38	51	43	45	42	44
ACKERMAN R-72	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
AGUIAR R-61	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ALBY R-05	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ALPERT D-78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
ARCHIE-HUDSON D-48	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓
BACA D-62	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓
BALDWIN R-77	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BATES D-14	✓	✓	NV	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BATTIN R-80	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BAUGH R-67	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BOLAND R-38	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BORDONARO R-33	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BOWEN D-53	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
BOWLER R-10	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BREWER R-70	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BROWN D-07	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	X
BRULTE R-63	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
BURTON D-12	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓
BUSTAMANTE D-31	✓	X	NV	NV	X	✓	X	X	X	NV	✓	X	NV	X	NV	X
CALDERA D-46	NV	NV	NV	NV	X	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓
CAMPBELL D-11	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CANNELLA D-26	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X
CONROY R-71	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
CORTESE REF-23	✓	X	X	NV	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	NV	X	X
CUNNEEN R-24	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	NV	X	NV	✓	✓	X	✓	NV	✓	✓
DAVIS D-76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	X
DUCHENY D-79	✓	NV	NV	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
ESCUTIA D-50	X	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
FIGUEROA D-20	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
FIRESTONE R-35	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	NV	✓	X	✓	NV	NV	✓
FRIEDMAN D-40	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
FRUSETTA R-28	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
GALLEGOS D-57	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
GOLDSMITH R-75	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
GRANLUND R-65	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
HANNIGAN D-08	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	✓	✓	✓
HARVEY R-32	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
HAUSER D-01	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	NV	X
HAWKINS R-56	NV	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
HOGUE R-44	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

✓ – PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X – ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV – ABSENT OR NOT VOTING

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

CESA 20	CEQA			ENFORCEMENT			CONSERVATION	1996 FLOOR SCORE	1995 FLOOR SCORE	CONTINUED 
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27			
29	26	37	29	22	33	28	49			
43	44	36	42	51	42	41	22			
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	33	ACKERMAN R-72
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	9	7	AGUIAR R-61
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	12	ALBY R-05
X	✓	✓	NV	X	✓	✓	✓	78	88	ALPERT D-78
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	94	ARCHIE-HUDSON D-48
X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	54	41	BACA D-62
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	8	19	BALDWIN R-77
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	100	BATES D-14
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	13	27	BATTIN R-80
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	NE	BAUGH R-67
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	11	BOLAND R-38
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	8	12	BORDONARO R-33
✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	100	94	BOWEN D-53
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	8	17	BOWLER R-10
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	24	BREWER R-70
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	95	100	BROWN D-07
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	8	7	BRULTE R-63
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	BURTON D-12
NV	NV	✓	NV	X	✓	NV	✓	40	46	BUSTAMANTE D-31
NV	NV	✓	NV	X	NV	NV	NV	80	94	CALDERA D-46
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	96	100	CAMPBELL D-11
X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	42	33	CANNELLA D-26
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	13	24	CONROY R-71
NV	X	NV	NV	X	X	NV	✓	28	46	CORTESE REF-23
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	71	67	CUNNEEN R-24
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	NV	✓	91	76	DAVIS D-76
✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90	79	DUCHENY D-79
NV	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	90	100	ESCUTIA D-50
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	100	92	FIGUEROA D-20
NV	NV	✓	NV	X	X	X	✓	44	56	FIRESTONE R-35
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	FRIEDMAN D-40
X	X	NV	X	NV	X	X	✓	14	29	FRUSETTA R-28
X	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	95	78	GALLEGOS D-57
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	13	28	GOLDSMITH R-75
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	12	GRANLUND R-65
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	90	82	HANNIGAN D-08
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	8	22	HARVEY R-32
✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	NV	✓	89	69	HAUSER D-01
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	24	HAWKINS R-56
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	12	HOGUE R-44

NE – NOT ELIGIBLE

~ – NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR			WATER			PESTICIDES			TOXICS		WASTE			FORESTS	
	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	47	31	24	31	29	74	28	25	30	29	31	14	29	23	33	32
ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	27	43	45	41	44	4	45	46	41	41	38	51	43	45	42	44
HOUSE	R-25	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ISENBERG	D-09	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	✓
KALOOGIAN	R-74	X	X	X	X	X	✓	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
KATZ	D-39	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
KNIGHT	R-36	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
KNOWLES	R-04	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
KNOX	D-42	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
KUEHL	D-41	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓
KUYKENDALL	R-54	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LEE	D-16	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓
MACHADO	D-17	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X
MARGETT	R-59	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MARTINEZ	D-49	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
MAZZONI	D-06	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MCDONALD	D-55	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NV	NV	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	✓
MCPHERSON	R-27	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	NV	X	NV
MIGDEN	D-13	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NE	NE	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NE
MILLER	R-60	NV	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MORRISSEY	R-69	NV	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MORROW	R-73	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MURRAY, K	D-47	✓	✓	X	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓
MURRAY, W	D-52	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X
NAPOLITANO	D-58	✓	NV	NV	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
OLBERG	R-34	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
POOCHIGIAN	R-29	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
PRINGLE	R-68	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	NV
RAINEY	R-15	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
RICHTER	R-03	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ROGAN	R-43	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SETENCICH	R-30	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
SHER	D-11	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	✓	NV	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	✓
SPEIER	D-19	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
SWEENEY	D-18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
TAKASUGI	R-37	✓	X	X	X	NV	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
THOMPSON, B	R-66	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
TUCKER	D-51	X	✓	NV	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	NV	NV	X	NV	✓
VASCONCELLOS	D-22	✓	NV	NV	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	NV
VILLARAIGOSA	D-45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WEGGELAND	R-64	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X
WOODS	R-02	X	X	X	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

✓ – PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X – ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV – ABSENT OR NOT VOTING

ASSEMBLY FLOOR VOTES

CESA 20	CEQA			ENFORCEMENT			CONSERVATION	1996 FLOOR SCORE	1995 FLOOR SCORE		
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27				
29	26	37	29	22	33	28	49				
43	44	36	42	51	42	41	22				
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	22	HOUSE	R-25
✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	100	100	ISENBERG	D-09
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	18	KALOGIAN	R-74
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	96	100	KATZ	D-39
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	4	13	KNIGHT	R-36
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0	12	KNOWLES	R-04
✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	100	100	KNOX	D-42
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	94	KUEHL	D-41
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NV	13	33	KUYKENDALL	R-54
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	LEE	D-16
X	X	✓	X	X	NV	X	✓	30	31	MACHADO	D-17
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	8	10	MARGETT	R-59
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	95	83	MARTINEZ	D-49
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	MAZZONI	D-06
NE	NE	NE	NE	✓	✓	NE	NE	~ ¹	88	MCDONALD	D-55
✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	50	54	MCPHERSON	R-27
✓	✓	✓	✓	NE	NE	✓	✓	100 ²	NE	MIGDEN	D-13
X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	NV	5	13	MILLER	R-60
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	9	18	MORRISSEY	R-69
X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	0	19	MORROW	R-73
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	86	94	MURRAY, K	D-47
NV	X	✓	NV	X	✓	✓	✓	58	56	MURRAY, W	D-52
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	94	NAPOLITANO	D-58
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	12	OLBERG	R-34
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	13	POOCHIGIAN	R-29
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	5	7	PRINGLE	R-68
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	13	24	RAINEY	R-15
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	13	RICHTER	R-03
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	24	ROGAN	R-43
X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	7	19	SETENCICH	R-30
NE	NE	NE	NE	✓	✓	NE	NE	~ ³	100	SHER	D-11
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	96	100	SPEIER	D-19
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	SWEENEY	D-18
X	X	✓	X	X	X	X	NV	14	19	TAKASUGI	R-37
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	6	THOMPSON, B	R-66
✓	X	✓	X	X	NV	✓	✓	67	59	TUCKER	D-51
✓	✓	✓	NV	✓	NV	NV	✓	100	100	VASCONCELLOS	D-22
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	VILLARAIGOSA	D-45
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	13	13	WEGGELAND	R-64
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	19	WOODS	R-02

NE – NOT ELIGIBLE

~ – NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

SENATE FLOOR VOTES

SCORECARD BILL NO.	AIR			WATER		PESTICIDES	
	1	2	5	7	8	10	11
PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	15	26	34	17	33	11	10
ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL VOTES	21	10	0	21	4	22	22
ALQUIST D-13	X	X	✓	X	✓	NV	NV
AYALA D-32	NV	✓	✓	X	✓	NV	NV
BEVERLY R-27	X	✓	✓	X	NV	X	X
BOATWRIGHT D-07	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
CALDERON D-30	X	NV	✓	X	✓	NV	NV
COSTA D-16	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
CRAVEN R-38	X	NV	✓	NV	NV	NV	NV
DILLS D-28	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
GREENE D-06	NV	✓	NV	NV	✓	X	X
HAYDEN D-23	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HAYNES R-36	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X
HUGHES D-25	✓	NV	✓	X	✓	NV	NV
HURTT R-34	X	X	NV	X	✓	X	X
JOHANNESSEN R-04	X	NV	✓	X	✓	X	X
JOHNSON R-35	X	X	✓	X	X	X	X
JOHNSTON D-05	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
KELLEY R-37	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
KILLEA I-39	✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓
KOPP I-08	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
LEONARD R-31	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
LESLIE R-01	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X
LEWIS R-33	X	✓	NV	X	X	X	X
LOCKYER D-10	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
MADDY R-14	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X
MARKS D-03	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MELLO D-15	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
MONTEITH R-12	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X
MOUNTJOY R-29	X	✓	✓	X	NV	X	X
O'CONNELL D-18	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
PEACE D-40	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV	✓
PETRIS D-09	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
POLANCO D-22	NV	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
ROGERS R-17	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X
ROSENTHAL D-20	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NV
RUSSELL R-21	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X
SHER D-11	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	NE	NE
SOLIS D-24	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
THOMPSON, M D-02	NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
WATSON D-26	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WRIGHT R-19	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X

✓ – PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

X – ANTI-ENVIRONMENT VOTE

NV – ABSENT OR NOT VOTING

SENATE FLOOR VOTES


WASTE		CEQA	CONSERVATION		1996 FLOOR SCORE	1995 FLOOR SCORE		
15	17	21	27	28				
8	10	10	27	27				
23	23	24	11	5				
X	X	X	✓	✓	40	75	ALQUIST	D-13
X	X	NV	✓	✓	63	55	AYALA	D-32
X	NV	X	✓	✓	40	10	BEVERLY	R-27
X	X	NV	✓	✓	64	56	BOATWRIGHT	D-07
X	X	X	✓	✓	44	43	CALDERON	D-30
NV	X	X	✓	✓	45	44	COSTA	D-16
NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	~ ¹	36	CRAVEN	R-38
NV	NV	✓	✓	NV	100	100	DILLS	D-28
X	NV	X	✓	✓	50	71	GREENE	D-06
NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	95	HAYDEN	D-23
X	X	X	X	X	0	6	HAYNES	R-36
NV	X	✓	✓	✓	75	89	HUGHES	D-25
NV	NV	X	X	X	11	6	HURTT	R-34
X	X	X	X	NV	20	20	JOHANNESSEN	R-04
X	X	X	NV	NV	10	20	JOHNSON	R-35
X	X	X	✓	✓	50	58	JOHNSTON	D-05
X	X	X	✓	✓	42	15	KELLEY	R-37
✓	✓	NV	✓	✓	100	77	KILLEA	I-39
✓	✓	X	✓	✓	83	60	KOPP	I-08
X	X	X	X	✓	33	10	LEONARD	R-31
X	X	X	✓	NV	27	11	LESLIE	R-01
X	X	X	X	NV	10	5	LEWIS	R-33
X	✓	X	✓	✓	73	76	LOCKYER	D-10
X	X	NV	X	✓	36	15	MADDY	R-14
✓	NV	✓	✓	✓	100	89	MARKS	D-03
X	X	NV	✓	✓	55	81	MELLO	D-15
X	X	X	X	✓	25	11	MONTEITH	R-12
X	X	X	X	X	18	5	MOUNTJOY	R-29
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	95	O'CONNELL	D-18
X	NV	X	✓	NV	78	56	PEACE	D-40
NV	X	✓	✓	✓	91	94	PETRIS	D-09
X	X	X	✓	✓	64	79	POLANCO	D-22
✓	X	X	X	X	25	0	ROGERS	R-17
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	ROSENTHAL	D-20
X	X	X	X	NV	0	10	RUSSELL	R-21
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100 ²	SHER	D-11
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	100	SOLIS	D-24
NV	✓	X	✓	✓	70	55	THOMPSON, M	D-02
NV	✓	✓	✓	✓	100	94	WATSON	D-26
X	X	X	X	X	17	15	WRIGHT	R-19

NE – NOT ELIGIBLE


~ – NOT CALCULATED (TOO FEW VOTES) OR NOT APPLICABLE

FIVE-YEAR AVERAGES


ASSEMBLY



SENATE



GOV. PETE WILSON



1997 LEGISLATIVE ROSTER

Here is the lineup for the 1997-98 session of the California Legislature, elected in November 1996. Of the 80 members of the Assembly, 32 are newly elected; in the Senate, 9 of 40 members are newcomers, although all but one (Schiff) either moved up from the Assembly or had previously served in the Legislature. To write your legislators, use this address: *The Honorable (legislator's name), California Assembly (or Senate), State Capitol, Sacramento, CA 95814*. To reach legislators by phone, call the Capitol switchboard at (916) 445-3614 and give the operator your legislator's name or district number.

ASSEMBLY

District, Member, Party

1 Virginia Strom-Martin (D)
2 Tom Woods (R)
3 Bernie Richter (R)
4 Thomas Oller (R)
5 Barbara Alby (R)
6 Kerry Mazzoni (D)
7 Valerie Brown (D)
8 Helen Thompson (D)
9 Deborah Ortiz (D)
10 Larry Bowler (R)
11 Tom Torlakson (D)
12 Kevin Shelley (D)
13 Carole Migden (D)
14 Dion Aroner (D)
15 Lynne Leach (R)
16 Don Perata (D)
17 Mike Machado (D)
18 Micheal Sweeney (D)
19 Lou Papan (D)
20 Liz Figueroa (D)
21 Ted Lempert (D)
22 Elaine White-Alquist (D)
23 Michael Honda (D)
24 Jim Cunneen (R)
25 George House (R)
26 Dennis Cardoza (D)

District, Member, Party

27 Fred Keeley (D)
28 Peter Frusetta (R)
29 Charles Poochigian (R)
30 Robert Prenter (R)
31 Cruz Bustamante (D)
32 Roy Ashburn (R)
33 Tom Bordonaro (r)
34 Keith Olberg (R)
35 Brooks Firestone (R)
36 George Runner (R)
37 Nao Takasugi (R)
38 Tom McClintock (R)
39 Tony Cardenas (D)
40 Bob Hertzberg (D)
41 Sheila Kuehl (D)
42 Wally Knox (D)
43 Scott Wildman (D)
44 Jack Scott (D)
45 Antonio Villaraigosa (D)
46 Louis Caldera (D)
47 Kevin Murray (D)
48 Rod Wright (R)
49 Diane Martinez (D)
50 Martha Escutia (D)
51 Edward Vincent (D)
52 Carl Washington (D)
53 Debra Bowen (D)

District, Member, Party

54 Steve Kuykendall (R)
55 Richard Floyd (D)
56 Sally Havice (D)
57 Martin Gallegos (D)
58 Grace Napolitano (D)
59 Bob Margett (R)
60 Gary Miller (R)
61 Fred Aguiar (R)
62 Joe Baca (D)
63 Bill Leonard (R)
64 Rod Pacheco (R)
65 Brett Granlund (R)
66 Bruce Thompson (R)
67 Scott Baugh (R)
68 Curt Pringle (R)
69 Jim Morrissey (R)
70 Marilyn Brewer (R)
71 Bill Campbell (R)
72 Dick Ackerman (R)
73 Bill Morrow (R)
74 Howard Kaloogian (R)
75 Jan Goldsmith (R)
76 Susan Davis (D)
77 Steve Baldwin (R)
78 Howard Wayne (D)
79 Denise Ducheny (D)
80 Jim Battin (R)

SENATE

District, Member, Party

1 Tim Leslie (R)
2 Mike Thompson (D)
3 John Burton (D)
4 Marice Johannessen (R)
5 Patrick Johnston (D)
6 Leroy Greene (D)
7 Jeff Smith (D)
8 Quentin Kopp (I)
9 Barbara Lee (D)
10 Bill Lockyer (D)
11 Byron Sher (D)
12 Dick Monteith (R)
13 John Vasconcellos (D)

District, Member, Party

14 Ken Maddy (R)
15 Bruce McPherson (R)
16 Jim Costa (D)
17 William "Pete" Knight (R)
18 Jack O'Connell (D)
19 Cathie Wright (R)
20 Herschel Rosenthal (D)
21 Adam Schiff (D)
22 Richard Polanco (D)
23 Tom Hayden (D)
24 Hilda Solis (D)
25 Teresa Hughes (D)
26 Diane Watson (D)

District, Member, Party

27 Betty Karnette (D)
28 Ralph Dills (D)
29 Richard Mountjoy (R)
30 Charles Calderon (D)
31 Jim Brulte (R)
32 Rob Hurtt (R)
33 John Lewis (R)
34 Ruben Ayala (D)
35 Ross Johnson (R)
36 Ray Haynes (R)
37 David Kelley (R)
38 William Craven (R)
39 Dede Alpert (R)
40 Steve Peace (D)

EcoVote Online: The Electronic Scorecard

The California Environmental Scorecard is also available on the Internet. EcoVote Online, the California League of Conservation Voters' Web service, is at <http://www.ecovote.org/ecovote>. During the legislative session, the site is updated regularly to track the most significant environmental bills.

1996 California Environmental Scorecard

California League of Conservation Voters
965 Mission St., Suite 625
San Francisco, CA 94103
Address Correction Requested

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
PERMIT #987
VAN NUYS, CA